18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
11	No. CR 14-0175 WHA Plaintiff,	
12	v.	
13	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS I CPUC REPORT ON CAMP	КE
14	COMPANY, FIRE	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	By DECEMBER 19 AT NOON PG&E shall please answer these follow-up questions	

ER 19 AT NOON PG&E shall please answer these follow concerning one recent CPUC Report on the Camp Fire:

- On the Incident Tower, did the C-hook thread through the eye on the original 1. runner arm or the eye on the added hanger plate or both? What was the point of two eyes?
- 2. What was the condition of the C-hook on the second parallel runner arm on the Incident Tower (the one that did not detach during the storm)?
- 3. What was the height above ground on the C-hook in question?
- 4. How closely did any drone inspect the C-hook in question prior to the Camp Fire? Are the images available? If so, provide the ones that show the C-hook in question.

Case 3:14-cr-00175-WHA Document 1122 Filed 12/05/19 Page 2 of 2

5.	Prior to the Camp Fire, did PG&E keep records from which PG&E could
	determine how long the C-hooks and/or hanger plates in question had been in
	place? Explain this history.

Prior to the Camp Fire, had PG&E ever previously noticed any worn C-hooks 6. and/or hanger plates on any of its transmission lines? Explain this history. Had worn C-hooks been preserved (as evidence or for any other purpose)?

Dated: December 5, 2019.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE